Bridging Rust and C++ with cxx

Igor Khanin

Presentation for RustTLV meetup January 2025

About Me

- Pretending to write C++ professionally for ~10 years
 - And a Rust enthusiast for less than that
- Currently working as a Senior Software Engineer @ Fireblocks
 - Opinions are my own

GitHub: https://github.com/lgKh/

E-mail: igor@khanin.biz

Motivation

- C++ and Rust have many similar concepts
 - RAII, references, strong type system, Zero-overhead principle...
- Gradual introduction of Rust is therefore natural for organizations with large C++ code bases that want to improve safety
- There is need to both call from Rust to C++ and from C++ to Rust
- BUT:
 - There are also differing concepts that don't easily map, e.g. traits vs inheritance, lifetimes
 - Neither has a stable ABI
 - C ABI is the least common denominator





Enter <u>cxx.rs</u>

- Started by David Tolnay in 2019
- Fundamental approach: by controlling both sides of the FFI boundary, it is possible to ensure that they agree on the memory layout and semantics of all types that cross the boundary
- This has some benefits:
 - Eliminate the intrinsic unsafety introduced by the bindings themselves
 - Direct bindings that don't necessarily require marshalling
- But also some disadvantages...

What Can Cross the FFI Boundary?

- Simple (primitive) types, for example:
 - i32 <-> int32_t
 - u8 <-> uint8_t, unsigned char
 - o usize <-> size_t
 - o f64 <-> double
 - o bool <-> bool
- Opaque C++ types
 - Types that are defined in C++. Rust code can only access them indirectly (by reference) to call exposed methods.
- Opaque Rust types
 - Types that are defined in Rust. C++ code can only access them indirectly to call exposed methods.

What Can Cross the FFI Boundary?

• Specific complex types. For example:

Rust "Leg"	C++ "Leg"
Box <t></t>	rust::Box <t></t>
cxx::UniquePtr <t></t>	<pre>std::unique_ptr<t></t></pre>
String	rust::String
cxx::CxxString	std::string
&Τ	const T&
Pin<&mut T>	Τ&
Result <t, e=""></t,>	Exceptions!

More at https://cxx.rs/bindings.html

What can Cross the FFI Boundary?

- Shared Types
 - Types defined as part of the FFI definition. Both sides know their definition and can access fields, hold by value, etc.

- Shared types can be:
 - Simple enums
 - Structs of anything else supported by cxx

Example

```
pub trait LogSink {
    fn log_message(&self, message: &str);
pub struct PageExtractor {
    logger: &'static dyn LogSink,
impl PageExtractor {
    pub fn new(logger: &'static dyn LogSink) -> Self {
        Self { logger }
    pub fn extract_from_pdf(&mut self, source: &[u8]) -> Result<Vec<String>, Error> {
        if source.is_empty() {
            return Err(Error::EmptySource);
        self.logger.log_message(&format!("Got source of {} bytes", source.len()));
        Ok(vec!["First text".to_string(), "Second text".to_string()])
```

Interface Definition

```
10
12
13
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
```

22

```
// Shared types go here
```

```
extern "Rust" {
    type PageExtractorWrapper;
```

fn create_extractor(logger: &'static RustLogSink) -> Box<PageExtractorWrapper>;

```
fn extract_from_pdf(&mut self, source: &[u8]) -> Result<Vec<String>>;
```

```
unsafe extern "C++" {
    include!("rustlogsink.h");
```

```
type RustLogSink;
```

fn send_message(&self, message: &CxxString);

Rust Glue Code

```
// Needed due to orphan rule
struct PageExtractorWrapper {
    inner: PageExtractor
impl PageExtractorWrapper {
    fn extract_from_pdf(&mut self, source: &[u8]) -> Result<Vec<String>, magiclib::Error>
        self.inner.extract_from_pdf(source)
impl magiclib::LogSink for ffi::RustLogSink {
    fn log_message(&self, message: &str) {
        cxx::let_cxx_string!(cxx_message = message);
        self.send_message(&cxx_message);
```

fn create_extractor(logger: &'static ffi::RustLogSink) -> Box<PageExtractorWrapper> {
 Box::new(PageExtractorWrapper { inner: PageExtractor::new(logger) })

Using from C++

#include "rust/cxx.h"

```
void process_pages(const std::vector<uint8_t>& data)
    RustLogSink logger;
    rust::Box<PageExtractorWrapper> extractor = create_extractor(logger);
    try {
        rust::Vec<rust::String> pages = extractor->extract_from_pdf(rust::Slice<const uint8_t>(data));
        for (auto& page : pages) {
            std::cout << "Page " << page.c_str() << std::endl;</pre>
    catch (rust::Error& e) {
        std::cout << "Extraction failed: " << e.what() << std::endl;</pre>
```

Who Drives the Linker?

- Option 1 cargo handles everything
 - For Rust-first projects with smaller amounts of C++ glue code
 - Using cxx-build crate in build.rs
- Option 2 integrate into existing C++ build system
 - Configure cargo to build the crate containing bridge definition as a staticlib crate.
 - Have the build system install and run the cxxbridge CLI to generate the C++ side of the FFI.
 - Compile all written and generated C++ code, and have the C++ compiler link it with the static library emitted by cargo / rustc.
 - For CMake builds, <u>Corrosion</u> automates all of this nicely.

Who Drives the Linker?

- Beware of pitfalls with option 2:
 - Linking with multiple crates containing bridges
 - GCC vs Clang, libc++ vs libstdc++
 - MSVCRT debug runtime mismatch
 - LTO builds and GCC
 - o ...

Alternatives

• <u>autocxx</u>

 Not an alternative per-se, but builds on cxx to eliminate the need to write most bridge modules and glue code for projects that mainly call Rust from C++.

• <u>zngur</u>

• Similar to cxx in basic approach, with different choices that affect the type of glue code that needs to be written.

Thank You!



https://github.com/lgKh/rustlv-cxx-example